The effect of virtual reality glasses on anxiety during surgery under spinal anesthesia: a randomized controlled study
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Abstract

Background & objectives: Surgery–related anxiety can be observed due to several factors in patients undergoing surgery. With developing technology virtual reality (VR) glasses have begun to be used to reduce anxiety in the preoperative period. We aimed to investigate the effect of using VR glasses on operation anxiety and sedation requirement in patients during operation under spinal anesthesia.

Methodology: We enrolled 97 patients between 18–75 y of age, ASA class I–II, in this study. Participants were randomized into VR glasses group or the standard care group. The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Trait Anxiety (STAI–TA) and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for State Anxiety (STAI–SA) scores preoperatively and anxiety score on VAS scale during surgery were obtained. Patients watched a movie via VR glasses (BOBO® VR Z4) after spinal anesthesia and the surgical operation was commenced thereafter. In the control group, the surgery was begun without any additional procedure.

Results: Both groups were similar in terms of demographic and hemodynamic data. There was no statistically significant difference between groups in terms of preoperative anxiety levels, STAI–SA and STAI–TA scores. However, the VAS and relative risk (RR) values were significantly lower in the study group in all measurements (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: We believe that the use of VR glasses decreases perioperative anxiety and sedation requirements in patients undergoing a surgical procedure under spinal anesthesia.
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1. Introduction

Perioperative anxiety begins in the preoperative period and may continue in the postoperative period. It results due to several factors in patients undergoing surgery. 1

3 Although it varies in different groups of patients, the frequency in adult patients has been reported to be between 11% and 90%. 2,4-6 Anesthesia-related anxiety is another cause of perioperative anxiety, and it may be due to intraoperative awareness, fear of pain during
intervention, postoperative pain, nausea and vomiting.\(^3\)

Perioperative anxiety leads to difficult intravascular access, need for increased anesthesia depth, hypertension, dysrhythmias, pain, nausea, vomiting, increased risk of infection, and prolonged recovery period.\(^7,9\) Therefore, it is important to be aware of and to treat the perioperative anxiety.\(^10,11\) Various tests have been developed to determine anxiety levels of the patients.\(^3\)

Recently virtual reality (VR) applications have been introduced. By this way, it is possible to be included in the virtual environment, both visually and auditorily.\(^12,13\) Due to this feature, virtual reality glasses (VRG) have begun to be used to reduce anxiety in the preoperative period.\(^4\) VRG have been used to reduce preoperative anxiety in several studies. However, there is a limited number of studies that evaluate efficacy of VR on intraoperative anxiety.\(^12,13\)

In this study, we investigated the effect of using VRG on perioperative anxiety and the requirement of sedation during surgery in patients under spinal anesthesia.

### 2. Research Methodology

We conducted the study after approval of the local Ethics Committee Protocol No: 2017/226, and registered with Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT03475810. The study was conducted between September 2017 and January 2018 in our operating theatres.

The study included surgical patients between the ages of 18–75 years, with an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class I–II, scheduled to undergo surgery under spinal anesthesia in the supine position. All patients gave their written informed consent to participate. Patients with psychiatric disorders, undergoing emergency surgeries or cesarean section, patients with visual impairment and those who could not speak, read or write in our language, were excluded from the study.

The participants were randomized into two groups; those using VRG (study group) and the standard care group (control group) with the closed envelope method.

The preoperative State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Trait Anxiety (STAI–TA) and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for State Anxiety (STAI–SA) scores were determined for all patients to evaluate their anxiety status in the preoperative preparation unit.\(^14,15\) The visual analogical scale (VAS-A) was used to evaluate the anxiety level during surgery.\(^16\). For this purpose, patients were asked to rate their anxiety between 0 (no anxiety at all) and 10 (the most severe anxiety in my life) on a scale.

Premedication was not allowed to the patients before surgery. Electrocardiography (ECG) recording, peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO\(_2\)) and non-invasive blood pressure monitoring was applied to all participants in the operating theatre. After insertion of the 20-gauge intravenous cannula, 500 ml of 0.9% saline was administered at a rate of 10 ml/kg/h. An anesthesiologist, who was blind to the patients’ group, performed spinal anesthesia using a 26-gauge pencil-point needle (Spineject\textregistered). Heavy Marcaine was administered to the subarachnoid space and the drug dose was adjusted according to the surgery the patient would undergo.

Spinal anesthesia was considered satisfactory for all patients with a Bromage score of 2–3 points and a negative pinprick test. Patients watched a nature documentary which was specially prepared for VR intervention.

### Table 1: Demographic data, ASA Classes, operation and anesthesia data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control Group (n=47)</th>
<th>VR Group (n=50)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.079(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>41 ± 32</td>
<td>44,5 ± 24</td>
<td>0.346(^m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA Class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.710(^k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA 2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of attemption for spinal anesthesia</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 0,25</td>
<td>0.398(^m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation (min)</td>
<td>45 ± 33</td>
<td>45 ± 30</td>
<td>0.902(^m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Kr–kare test: values are given as frequency (percentage)

\(^{m}\) Mann–Whitney U test: values are given as mean ± standard deviation (median+IQR)
technology via VRG (BOBO® VR Z4) after spinal anesthesia and the surgical operation was commenced thereafter (Figure 1). In the control group, the surgery was commenced.

During the surgery, systolic arterial pressure (SAP), diastolic arterial pressure (DAP), heart rate (HR), SpO₂, respiratory rate (RR) and VAS anxiety scoring were evaluated every ten minutes. A VAS anxiety score of 0 to 3 points was considered as mild anxiety, and a score of 4 points or higher was accepted as moderate–severe anxiety and these patients received 0.03 mg/kg benzodiazepine and 1 µg/kg fentanyl for sedation.

**Statistical Analysis:**
Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using the IBM SPSS Statistics 22. In the analysis of the numerical data, the Independent Samples t Test was used for those conforming to the normal distribution, the Mann–Whitney U test for those who did not, the chi-squared test was used for the analysis of categorical variables, the one–way ANOVA test and the Kruskal–Wallis H test were used for the comparison of more than 2 groups. The bivariate correlation test was used in the relationship analysis of independent variables. The MINITAB program was used in the power analysis. The results were evaluated within the 95% confidence interval and a p < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Assuming a bilateral α with a 95% confidence interval and 80% power, we calculated that 47 participants would be required in each group to determine the difference in terms of anxiety parameters between the study groups. The sample size of our study was sufficient and our study had high reliability.

### Table 2: Comparison of Anxiety tests of the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anxiety tests</th>
<th>Control Group (n=47)</th>
<th>VR Group (n=50)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prooperative STAI–SA</td>
<td>42 ± 10</td>
<td>44 ± 10</td>
<td>0.363m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prooperative STAI–TA</td>
<td>47.49 ± 7.17</td>
<td>48.6 ± 6.7</td>
<td>0.432e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STAI–SA: The State Trait Anxiety Inventory–State Anxiety Scale,
STAI–TA: The State Trait Anxiety Inventory–Trait Anxiety

* Mann–Whitney U test: values are given as mean ± standard deviation
* Independent Samples t Test: values are given as mean ± standard deviation

### Table 3: Comparison of vital signs and anxiety data during operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control Group (n=47)</th>
<th>VR Group (n=50)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>129.6 ± 20.97</td>
<td>127.54 ± 18.84</td>
<td>0.606a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.09 ± 14.09</td>
<td>73.08 ± 11.89</td>
<td>0.996a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beat/min)</td>
<td>74.86 ± 13.56</td>
<td>77.93 ± 13.87</td>
<td>0.274a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO₂ (%)</td>
<td>98.6 ± 2</td>
<td>98.6 ± 1.75</td>
<td>0.750m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (l/min)</td>
<td>14 ± 2.4</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.25</td>
<td>0.002m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>5.19 ± 1.64</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.91</td>
<td>0.003c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAP: Systolic arterial pressure, DAP: Diastolic arterial pressure, HR: Heart rate, SpO₂: Peripheral oxygen saturation, RR: Respiratory rate, VAS: Anxiety score on visual analog scale

* Mann–Whitney U test: values are given as mean ± standard deviation (median+IQR)
* Independent Samples t Test: values are given as mean ± standard deviation

### Table 4: Comparison of the groups in terms of surgical procedure [n(%)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical procedures</th>
<th>Control Group (n=47)</th>
<th>VR Group (n=50)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Abdominal Surgery (n%)</td>
<td>19(40)</td>
<td>21(42)</td>
<td>0.523k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urogenital Surgery (n%)</td>
<td>12(26)</td>
<td>13(26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urologic Surgery (n%)</td>
<td>9(19)</td>
<td>5(10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Extremity Surgery (n%)</td>
<td>7(15)</td>
<td>11(22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* K–square test: values are given as frequency (percentage)
(n=9) and patients with missing data (n=3) were excluded from the study (flow chart).

There were 50 patients in the study group and 47 in the control group. Both groups were similar in terms of demographic data (Table 1). There was no statistically significant difference between groups in terms of preoperative anxiety levels, STAI SA and STAI TA scores (Table 2).

According to the comparison of vital parameters during surgery, there was no statistically significant difference between groups in terms of SAP, DAP, HR and SpO₂ values (p > 0.05). However, the VAS and RR values were significantly lower in the study group in all measurements (p < 0.059) (Table 3).

The incidence of past surgical history was significantly lower in the study group as compared to the control group; 18 vs. 32 patients (p=0.001). We compared the sedation administration rates of the groups and we found that sedation was rarely performed in the study group compared to the patients in the control group [13 vs. 27 respectively (p = 0.002)].

We did not find a significant correlation between the sedation administration and previous surgical history (p = 0.81). Similarly, there was no significant correlation between perioperative VAS anxiety score and previous surgical history (p=0.781). There was no significant difference between groups in terms of types of surgery (Table 4).

4. Discussion

In this prospective randomized controlled study, we investigated the effect of using VRG on patient anxiety and sedation requirement during surgeries performed under spinal anesthesia. We determined that the use of VRG reduces the patient anxiety and the need for sedation.

Several factors play role in development of surgical anxiety. The most important ones are the prolonged waiting period for surgery, fears about the possible harm and consequences of the operation, separation from family members, expecting pain and the risk of complications. Some studies have reported that smoking, female gender, ASA score, the presence of psychiatric disorders and cancer history are linked to the higher levels of surgical anxiety. We interpreted this situation as the reason for surgery–related anxiety being affected by many factors and that standardization of the studies on this subject was almost impossible.

In our study, we used the state anxiety scale (STAI–SA) and the continuity anxiety scale (STAI–TA) to evaluate the anxiety level of participants. While the STAI–TA shows the anxious personality structure, the STAI–SA scale evaluates the instant anxiety level of the subject. It has been stated that there is a relationship between surgery–related anxiety and high anxious personality. In our study, the continuity anxiety scale and the condition anxiety scale of the study groups were similar.

Studies reported that surgery–related anxiety is higher in women than in men. On the other hand, several studies stated that there is no difference between the genders in surgery–related anxiety. In our study, the female gender anxiety scales in the study group were similar to the male gender. We interpreted this situation as the female gender did not affect the results in our study. Several previous studies reported that surgery–related anxiety decreases with the increase in patient age. In our study, the groups were similar in terms of patient age. We interpreted this situation as the age did not affect the results in our study.

Surgery–related anxiety is caused by many factors such as surgery and anesthesia type. It has been reported that informing patients about surgery and anesthesia in the preoperative period may have a positive effect on anxiety. All patients in our study were informed verbally and in written form regarding surgery and anesthesia in the preoperative period.

Some authors reported that patients who have undergone surgery previously have less surgical anxiety. However, other authors differed from this opinion. In our study, we did not find a significant correlation between previous surgical history and anxiety levels and the need for sedation. We interpreted that patients can experience the surgery even they did no underwent surgery before, via multimedia tools All kinds of written and/or visual information about the surgical operation are available electronically. Patients can now access detailed information about any operation, whenever they wish. In this way, patients can obtain information in detail,
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5. Limitations

The most important limitation of our study was that there were many concurrent factors on perioperative anxiety that we could not standardize and measure. These factors include religious belief, educational level, waiting period for surgery, the marital status and the previous experience of the patient regarding surgery and anesthesia.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, we believe that the use of virtual reality glasses during the surgery decreases perioperative anxiety and accordingly the need for sedation in patients undergoing a surgical procedure under spinal anesthesia. We are in the opinion that, further large-scale, multicenter, prospective studies are needed to further establish the usefulness of this modality.
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