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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The increasing popularity of outpatient surgery has prompted the search for new anaesthetic agent that can provide safe and effective anaesthesia with a rapid and smooth recovery. We compared three induction agents, namely propofol, thiopentone sodium and ketamine to find the most suitable agent for this purpose.

Patients & Methods: This prospective study was conducted at HIMS, Dehradun on 90 ASA I, II patients of either sex and in age group 16-65 years undergoing minor surgical procedures under general anaesthesia not requiring endotracheal intubation. The patients were randomly allocated into three groups of 30 patients to receive either Inj propofol 2-2.5 mg/kg IV (Group A), Inj thiopentone 3-5 mg/kg IV (Group B), or Inj ketamine 1-2 mg/kg IV (Group C).

Results: It was noted that the induction time was shortest with Inj thiopentone and recovery was quickest with Propofol. Heart rate, SBP, DBP decreased with injection thiopentone and Propofol being more in case of injection Propofol in comparison to injection to thiopentone. Inj Ketamine led to increase in all the parameters.

Conclusion: Propofol is an ideal choice for short surgical procedures.
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INTRODUCTION

Today more than 60% of all elective surgeries are performed in the outpatient surgical setting, and it is expected that this number will increase.1 This rapid growth in ambulatory surgery would have not been possible without the changing role of the anesthesiologists and the development of more titratable anaesthetic drugs and less invasive surgery.2

An ideal outpatient anaesthetic should have a smooth and quick onset of action; produce intra operative amnesia and analgesia, good surgical conditions with a short recovery period, no side effects leading to early discharge.3 In view of increasing popularity of outpatient surgery search for new anaesthetic agent that can provide safe and effective anaesthesia has been prompted. This study was conducted to compare iv anaesthetic agent Propofol, Thiopentone and Ketamine in short surgical procedures with regard to induction time, effect on vitals, side effects (e.g. nausea and vomiting, hallucination, apnea etc) and recovery profile.
PATIENTS AND METHOD

This study was conducted at Department of Anesthesiology, Pain Management & ICU, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences, Jolly Grant, Dehradun. After obtaining approval from Hospital Ethical Committee and fully informed consent, 90 ASA grade I/II patients of either sexes in the age group of 16-65 years undergoing elective short surgical procedures requiring general anaesthesia without endotracheal intubation were studied. Patients belonging to ASA grade III/IV, morbidly obese haemodynamically unstable and patients with respiratory problem were excluded from the study.

Table 1: Patients Data (Age, Weight, ASA Grade)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GROUP A (n=30)</th>
<th>GROUP B (n=30)</th>
<th>GROUP C (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male: Female</strong></td>
<td>14:16</td>
<td>17:13</td>
<td>19:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age in years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>34.65±6.23</td>
<td>40.45±7.37</td>
<td>30.95±5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>16-55 yrs</td>
<td>28-55 yrs</td>
<td>16-47 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight in kg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>54±6.1</td>
<td>56±8.8</td>
<td>49±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>45-67 kg</td>
<td>40-70 kg</td>
<td>40-80 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASA grade I:II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction time in seconds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>29.2±7.57</td>
<td>25.45±3.7</td>
<td>131.8±36.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the patients were kept fasting overnight and were advised tab diazepam 10 mg orally at bedtime and in the morning of surgery with a sip of water. In the operating room after putting iv canula and taking preop vitals, inj glycopyrrolate 0.01mg/kg was given IV. The patients were then randomly divided into three groups of thirty patients each to receive induction either with propofol 2-2.5 mg/kg IV (Group A), with inj thiopentone 3-5mg/kg IV (Group B) or with inj. ketamine 1-2 mg/kg IV (Group C).

In Group A and B loss of eyelash reflex was taken as the end point of induction while in Group C loss of painful reflex was taken as end point of induction. In all the three groups patients were maintained on spontaneous ventilation using Bains circuit with 66% nitrous oxide in oxygen and incremental dose of the induction agent. Induction time was calculated as time interval between start of induction and loss of eyelash reflex (Group A, B) and loss of movement to painful stimuli (Group C). Smoothness of induction was judged by apnea, pain, hiccup, myoclonia or any other complication; movements in response to surgery was noted and graded as:

a. None  no movement
b. Mild  no noticeable by surgeon
c. Moderate interrupting the surgeon
d. Severe required abandonment of surgery or supplementation.

Pulse rate, respiratory rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure were recorded at preinduction, just after induction, after 2.5 minutes and 10 minutes of induction. Other parameters observed were time of onset of anaesthesia, amnesia, side effects like hallucinations, apnea, PONV and the hospital stay.

The time of recovery from anaesthesia was observed at 15 second intervals after the completion of surgery and any complications during recovery period were noted. Time taken for obeying verbal command on request was noted every 10 minutes after the completion of surgery, by asking the patients to open the eyes, protrude the tongue and to lift the head. The time of sitting on the bed and the time of walking in the straight line was recorded. Each patient

Box 1: Modified post anaesthetic discharge scoring system (MPADSS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambulation</td>
<td>steady joint/ no dizziness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and Vomiting</td>
<td>minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical bleeding</td>
<td>minimal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: 2= within 20% of preoperative value; 1 = 20-40% of preoperative value; 0 = >40% of preoperative value
was interviewed before leaving the recovery room and questioned about adverse effects like headache, nausea, vomiting, confusion, hiccups etc. The intravenous site was inspected for signs of erythema.

Guidelines for safe discharge from ambulatory surgical facility include stable vitals signs, return to baseline orientation, ambulation without dizziness, and minimal pain, PONV or bleeding at the surgical site. We discharged patients by using the modified post anaesthetic discharge scoring system (MPADSS) which is shown in Box I.

RESULTS

In our study the mean induction time recorded was 29.2±7.57 sec, 25.45±3.7 sec and 13.8±1.36 sec in Group A, B and C respectively (Table 1). Mean induction time was shortest in group receiving thiopentone.

There was a decrease in heart rate from preinduction values in Group A at 2 and 5 min, but it returned towards baseline at 10 min. In Group B there was an increase in heart rate than baseline value which remained high throughout the period of observation. In Group C there was an increase in heart rate than pre induction value which remained elevated throughout the period of observation.

There was a significant fall in the mean BP in both Group A and B, but it was more pronounced in propofol group. The initial fall in mean BP returned to preinduction level in Group B. There was a rise in the ketamine group, which was decreased after 10 min.

The mean respiratory rate before induction was 18.05±2.01/min 18.4±2.14, 16±2.1 in Group A, B, C respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III: Recovery Time (Mean±SD) in Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table IV: Complications during induction and post operative period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

respectively in our study (Table II). There was no significant change in Group A and B, but a slight decrease was noted in Group C, which continued up to 10 minutes without showing any rise towards baseline.

Mean duration of recovery (eye opening) after cessation of anaesthetic was at 4.46±1.1 min in Group A, while in thiopentone group it was 6.6±1.2 min and in ketamine group 6.02±1.96 min.

Table III shows mean time to eye opening, protrusion of tongue and lifting of head on verbal
command. It also shows signs of complete recovery, e.g. orientation, ability to sit and walk straight. The incidence of complications noted in three groups on induction and at recovery is given in Table IV.

DISCUSSION:

Ambulatory surgery has become increasingly popular during the past two decades due to an increased demand in cost saving, fewer beds occupancy, its popularity with the patients due to minimal separation from family and less disruption in personal life, and a rapid return to daily activities. There is reduced risk of wound infection, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism and pneumonia.

The ideal anesthetic agent for ambulatory surgery should have a smooth and rapid induction, fast recovery, intraoperative amnesia and analgesia and minimal side effects post operatively. Thiopentone is traditionally associated with rapid induction, short duration of action and minimal side effects. However, poor psychomotor recovery and subjective feeling of tiredness and drowsiness associated with it in the post operative period limits its usefulness in day case patients. Ketamine is a good anesthetic agent as it has a rapid onset of action, intense analgesia and intact laryngeal reflexes, making it the agent of choice even in full stomach patients. The disadvantages, however, are cardio stimulatory effect, emergence delirium and hallucinations postoperatively. It also has been associated with increased pulmonary resistance. These complications can be somewhat minimized if a benzodiazepine is used prior to Ketamine anaesthesia.

Propofol is highly lipid soluble and produces rapid onset of anesthesia with quick recovery due to its short elimination half life and high lipid clearance rate. This makes it particularly suitable for use in outpatient anaesthesia.

In our study the mean induction time recorded was 29.2±7.57 sec, 25.45±3.7 sec and 131.8±36.6 sec in Group A, B, C respectively (Table I). This is consistent with the study of Richard Johnson et al who found the induction was faster with thiopentone in comparison to propofol.

Hemodynamic variable such as heart rate (Table II) showed that in propofol group there was an increase in heart rate immediately post induction followed by decrease in heart rate at 2 min and 5 min with return towards baseline 10 min. Thiopentone and Ketamine group heart rate was increased throughout the period of observation. These findings are consistent with the findings of many earlier studies.

Mean arterial blood pressure (Table II) showed that there was a significant fall in blood pressure from preinduction value in both propofol and thiopentone group while there was a rise in Ketamine group. These findings are consistent with findings of Grounds et al. The fall in blood pressure in thiopentone Group A and Group B than ketamine group. This fall in BP is due to fall in systemic vascular resistance while increase seen in ketamine group is due to sympathomimetic effect of ketamine itself. Monedero Rodriguez P et al observed that propofol 2mg/kg caused a significant fall in arterial blood pressure (greater than thiopentone in DBP) and a decrease in heart rate (thiopentone did not change heart rate).

The mean respiratory rate before induction was 18.05±2.01/min 18.4±2.14, 16±2.1 in Group A, B, C respectively in our study. In Group A, there was a fall seen in the values at 2 min and 5 min but at 10 min RR returned to the baseline while in Group C fall in the value continued up to 10 minutes (Table II); whereas various investigators reported that propofol was more respiratory depressant than thiopentone in equipotent doses.

Immediate recovery criteria used such as eye opening on command, tongue protrusion and head lifting was found to be faster in propofol group than
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in thiopentone and ketamine group. Delayed recovery observed in PACU was also faster with propofol and patient was able to sit without support, fully oriented at 7.53±1.3 min and 13.8±3.72 min respectively (Table III).

It has been recommended that propofol induction resulted in a faster awakening of patients and better recovery function compared with thiopentone for the first 240 minutes after the procedure. Time taken by patient to sit without vertigo and walk in a straight line was less in patients receiving propofol and patient was shifted from recovery at a much quicker time than with other groups. However in other groups the recovery was delayed being worse in Ketamine group.

It was recommended that post anaesthesia recovery was superior with propofol, with virtual absence of side effects and rapid recovery, with little impairment of psychomotor function 30 minutes after anaesthesia. None of the patients reported any awareness during anaesthesia and all found course of anaesthesia acceptable in the three groups.

Richard Johnson et al also reported faster recovery with propofol as compared to thiopentone group. They observed remarkable clear headedness of the patients recovered from propofol. Redistribution is the principal mechanism for early awakening after a single dose of induction agents used. According to Kalman et al there was no difference in the results of early or late recovery tests but patients receiving propofol experienced fewer post operative symptoms and were more cheerful. Propofol compared to thiopentone and Ketamine was associated with a short time discharge from the recovery room.

Complications such as pain on injection, apnea, excitatory movement were more significant in propofol group than in other groups (Table IV). Pain was found at the site of injection in two propofol group but it was not severe and did not require discontinuation of anaesthesia. Only one patient in thiopentone and Ketamine group suffered pain. Mc Collum et al compared four intravenous agents, thiopentone, propofol, etomidate, methohexitone and found least pain at the site of injection with thiopentone.

Mild excitatory movements were noted in one patient in propofol group these movements did not interfere with induction. None of the patients induced with thiopentone and ketamine showed involuntary movements. Similar to our study Mc Collum noted mild, transient excitatory movements with propofol as compared to methohexitone, etomidate and thiopentone. In ketamine the induction was smooth with no excitatory phenomenon as reported in previous studies.

Apnea more than 20 sec was noted in 2(6.67%) in propofol and 1(3.33%) in both ketamine and thiopentone group. Mc Collum and Dundee reported higher frequency of apnea following induction with propofol as compared to thiopentone. Incidence of nausea and vomiting were more in thiopentone group as compared to Group A and C. White, Ding and Borgcat et al noted the incidence of nausea and vomiting to be low 3% and similar in both thiopentone and ketamine.

As far as post anaesthetic level of consciousness was concerned, propofol proved to be best. Almost all patients fulfilled the criteria for adequate recovery. Overall assessment highlighted the efficacy of propofol, with its better recovery profile; while there was not much to choose between thiopentone and propofol. According to Borgcat A, the interval between the end of administration of propofol or thiopentone and extubation, as well as discharge to the ward was significantly shorter with propofol.

**CONCLUSION:**

Thus in conclusion propofol has a rapid and smooth induction, short duration of action and
quick, pleasant and clear headed recovery with minimum side effects, better street fitness and least hospital stay time. Propofol due to its quick recovery profile is a better replacement for thiopentone and Ketamine in short surgical procedures.
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